

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

GLORIA LYNN COLUMBERT,

Petitioner,

Case Number: 2:15-CV-11903
HONORABLE VICTORIA A. ROBERTS

v.

A. STEWART,

Respondent.

**ORDER CONSTRUING MOTION FOR CERTIFICATE
OF APPEALABILITY AS MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION,
AND DENYING RECONSIDERATION**

This matter is before the Court on Petitioner Gloria Lynn Columbert's Motion for Certificate of Appealability. Petitioner filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus relief challenging her conviction for second-degree murder. (ECF No. 1). The petition was not timely filed and the Court granted Respondent's motion to dismiss on that basis. (ECF No. 20). Because the Court has already issued a ruling on the certificate of appealability, the Court construes the Motion for Certificate of Appealability as a motion for reconsideration.

Motions for reconsideration may be granted when the moving party shows (1) a "palpable defect," (2) by which the court and the parties were misled, and (3) the correction of which will result in a different disposition of the case. E.D. Mich. L.R. 7.1(h)(3). A "palpable defect" is a "defect which is obvious, clear, unmistakable,

manifest or plain.” *Olson v. The Home Depot*, 321 F. Supp. 2d 872, 874 (E.D. Mich. 2004).

The Court denied a certificate of appealability (COA) because reasonable jurists could not “debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that)” the petition “should have been resolved in a different manner.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (citation omitted). Petitioner’s motion simply reasserts arguments already advanced and, therefore, fails to allege sufficient grounds upon which to grant reconsideration. *See* L.R. 7.1(h)(3) (“[T]he Court will not grant motions for rehearing or reconsideration that merely present the same issues relied upon by the Court, either expressly or by reasonable implication.”).

Accordingly, the Court DENIES Petitioner’s “Motion for Certificate of Appealability” (ECF No. 22), which the Court has construed as a “Motion for Reconsideration.”

SO ORDERED.

S/Victoria A. Roberts
VICTORIA A. ROBERTS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: May 22, 2018